

Previous references.

Gen Fin 10/7/900

1903.

File No.

66 of 02-0

Serial Nos.

1-8

Later references.

Gen. Fin 80/903

(Gen. Finance)

P 25 E

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Shreemasena Rao, Head Accountant of the State Mysore Treasury permitted to continue in service up to the 1st Sept 1903 and granted three months' privilege leave from that date

1198 of 30/1/03 from the Officer in charge of the Mysore Treasury
Recommends that Shreemasena Rao may be permitted to continue in service for 10 months so that he may earn his full pension

13226/PTA/1130 of 2.3.03 To the same
Requests him to report whether he is fit for further service

1466 of 14.3.03 from the same
Requests that pending further communication on the above subject the official may be permitted to draw his pay

G. R. S. 58
14 of 14013-4/PTA/1229 of 20.3.03 To the same & the Comptroller
Sanctions the above.

22 of 7.7.03 from the same.

Requests that Shreemasena Rao may be ...

Three months' privilege leave preparatory
to Retirement

1st p^{er} A 60 d^{ated} 16.7.03 is

Requests him to report whether the official
is fit for further service

1081 d^{ated} 16.7.03 From the same.

states that although he is supernumerary he
may be allowed to continue in service
for 4 1/2 months more and then granted
privilege leave preparatory to Retirement

1459-60 d^{ated} 14/8/03 to the Comptroller & the Officer in charge
of the S. A. Ry.
Sanctions the proposal made in S. 17

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
REVENUE AND GENERAL, DEPARTMENTS.

Short Office Note.

*Subject Letter No. 1198 d. 30th January 190^x.
from the officer in charge of the State
Huzur Treasury, regarding the retire-
ment of P. Bheemasena Rao, Head
Accountant of his office

Note Government in Pro. No. 7497^x
d. 11th Jan'y 1902, ordered that the
Bheemasena Rao were not disp.
to sanction the retirement of
Bheemasena Rao against his i.
and that he might be ret-
in service for another year. The
period of retention thus sanction
expired on the 10th ultimo. On
29th January 1903. Bheemasena
Rao submitted an application to
the officer in charge of the Sta.

Huzar Treasury; in consequence
he states that his ~~of~~ service
falls short by about 10 months
to enable him to draw half
of his present pay as pension
and requests that as Govt
have ordered that they would
not retire him against his
will, he may be retained
in service for the period
thus falling short. (He says
that deducting the three months
privilege leave to which he
is entitled, the actual period
to be retained in service
amounts to only 7 months)
He adds that if the order of
the Govt of India reducing
the period taken into ~~account~~
account in calculating
the average emoluments
for pension from 5 to 3 years
be extended to this State
and he be given the
benefit of that order, he
is

is ~~submited~~ willing to apply
for retirement immediately.

In submitting the said
application to Govt. prober,
the offr in ch. of the State
Huzur Treasury states that
Bheemanna Rao is 61 years
old and has put in a
service of 43 years of which
41 years are eligible for
pension, that if he is
retired now, he will get
a pension of Rs 64-6-8
per mensem calculated on
the average emoluments of
the last ~~three~~^{five} years of servi-
ce and that as prayed for by
him the Govt. of India
ruling directing the
calculation of pension
on the last three years'
average be extended to
his case, he will get
a pension of Rs 65/- i.e.
209 annas pie 4 more a month

Th.

The officer recommends
in consideration of his
services and as a matter
of grace, this concession
be extended to his case.

The point for orders is
whether to order his return
in service for a further
7 months to enable
him to get half of his pres-
ent pay & compensation. It is
to be extended to his case, the
benefit of the Govt of
India ruling and return
him at once on a pension
of Rs 65 p. m.

The order may be submitted
to be issued from the Revenue Branch
Sub-division.
17/2/03.

The extension of the
of 3 years is excessive. It is
than retention. Ask for the
Jr. Officer's opinion as to whether

the Bhimasena Rao is fit
for further service for
this period -

24/2

Sub 2

11/12/03
The Treasury officer was
written to accordingly. He now
writes to say that Bheemasena
Rao may be granted with
effect from 1st September 1903, three
months' privilege leave preparatory
to his retirement from ~~active~~ service
and requests orders on the
proposals submitted by him
with his letter No. 1651 of 10/4/01,
for filling up the vacancy.
May the papers be transferred
to the Revenue Branch for
needful?

102
The Treasury Officer does not
say whether Bheemasena Rao
is or is not fit for further service ^{at least} for
the period required to entitle
him to a pension of half his present
pay.

His info may again be called for
Putrup draft.

14/7/03

x Flag #.
SL 7

The treasury officer was written to accordingly. In reply he states that the age of Bheemasena Ror. (viz 61½ yrs) incapacitates him for further service in the Treasury but ~~that~~ considering that only 4½ months are required to entitle him to draw the full pension of Rs 65 a month he may be kept on, on actual duty for a month and a half and then allowed three months' privilege leave preparatory to retirement.

Sub 2 The reply however, is DM 2
23/7/03 not to the point. Though the age viz 61½ years is against him Bheemasena Rao appears to be capable of turning out work as any other official in the Treasury.

The proposal to Rs
25/7/03
be may be sanctioned.
Let me see draft.
l Br -
Hms
25/7/03

Submitted to the
Secretary

When the question of
Bhim Sen Rao's retirement
came up in 1901, the
Dewan was pleased to
order as follows: —

"Is Bhim Sen Rao,
inefficient for his ~~work~~
and is willing to retire
let me have info on
this point." of 6.16.01.

(Vide paper marked B
in the file)
At the time of passing
final orders, the Dewan
was pleased to observe: —

"Bhim Sen Rao appears
quite strong. I am not
disposed to sanction his
retirement against his
will. He may hold on
for another year. (Vide
paper marked E). &

In his last report,
(Paper H) the Offr in Charge,
State Hazar Niz, states
that the age of Mr. P. D. Acott,
(61½ years), incapacitates
him

Copy.

for further ~~act~~ service

ld E. R

6/8/03.

Acharya Rao represented
that he would like to
speak in service some
time later if allowed.
but the draft submitted
is according to Dy. Offr's
recommendation


The Dewan

ld H. V. N
9/8/03

No 1198 and 2

PA 1361.

State Sugar Treasury



Bangalore dated $\frac{30}{31}$ January 03.

From

H/

B. Gopalengar Esq.

Officer in charge of the
State Sugar Treasury
Bangalore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq: M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Depts.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit that the period of extension of Service for one year sanctioned in the case of Bheemsain Row, Head Accountant, in Government Proceedings Fl: 971 of 11 January 1902 will end by the 31st Instant. He is 61 years of age, and has put in a service of 43 years, of which 41 years are eligible for pension. If he is made to retire now he will get a pension of Rs. 64-6-8 a month calculated on the average of 5 year's salary. But -

he prays that 3 years average emolument
be taken into account as is the case in the
British Territories under the Despatch
of the Secretary of State as embodied in
the General Letter N^o 10 dated the 5th December '02
of the Accountant-General, Madras. (copy
submitted for ready reference). In the latter
case he would get Rs 65/- or annas 9 and pies
4 more a month. In consideration of
his past services, I respectfully beg leave
to recommend that as a matter of grace this
concession be extended to him. His application
is submitted.

2. Since Bheemsain Rao's re-
tention in service has been ordered by
Government, I have not exercised the powers
vested in me by Government Proceedings N^o
9935-6 dated 8th April 1898.
71. 973

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Mahalingam

Officer in charge,
P. B. S. State Sugar Treasury.

To
B. Gopal Rao
Officer in charge
Statutory
Respected Sir

On your kind re-
commendation the Govt
ordered that they were un-
willing to retire me against
my will and that I might
be retained in service
till the end of the current
month. To enable me
to draw a pension half
of my present pay I must
put in a further service
of only about 10 months of
which 3 months will be the
privilege leave to which
I am entitled. Under
such circumstances I
beg to represent that I may
kindly

allowed to be on duty for
and I would like more. This
made it impossible
to further. I have to shut
so you are aware is not
in case. Meanwhile I see
recently got of India see
when directing the present
of the Commission on the average
3 years to all classes of officials
located assigned to this state
by the Commission. I shall
at once apply for my assignment
for which I am going for 4 years
about life and prosperity
long life and prosperity
through to business
to be made the
Government should
deserve it
pphlemcken, Dec
1921, Dec 1921
Bureau
1921

Pension Department

General Letter No 10

Fort St. George,
Accountant Generals' Office
5th December 1902

From

The Accountant General,
Madras.

To

All Heads of Offices in the
Civil Department

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that
the recent order of Government regarding
the period taken into account in cal-
culating average emoluments for
pension under Article 531, Civil Service
Regulations, from 5 to 3 years, takes effect
from 27th October 1902, the date of
receipt by the Government of India
of the Secretary of State's Despatch.
In the same manner, Article
446 of Civil Service Regulations is
recently

recently revised, takes effect from
21st July 1902.

I have the honor to be,
Sir!

Your most obedient servant,

(Sd) C. E. Brawley

Offg. Accountant-General

"Copy

Mr. B. B. B. B. B.

Officer in Charge

17/2

State Treasury

Bombay

30th Jan^y 1903

To
The officer in charge of
the State Nazir
✓ Treasury
No. 13226. SL 2
P.A. 1130
of 2nd ~~February~~ ^{March} 1903.

His letter No. 1198 d. 30th
Jan'y 1903 submitting for
orders the application of
Bheemasena Rao Head Aeth
of his office in which
he prays that either he be
retained in service for
a further period of ten
months to enable him to
draw half of his present
pay as pension or be
retired immediately ex-
tending to him the
benefit of having his
pension calculated on the
average emoluments of
the last three years of
service as in the
British territories and
recommending that he
may be given the
latter alternative

With ref^{ce} to the above
has

has the honour to observe
that the extension of
the rule of three years
is more difficult than
retention in service of
the applicant for a
further period of ten
months. The off^r in ch.
of the State Huzur Treasury
is therefore requested
to ~~report~~ be good
enough to report whether
~~the~~ Bheemasena Rao
is fit for further service
for the period of ten
months.

Secy^{order}) of
B. P.
For Secy

MMZ
28/2/03

W/ 1466



PFA/640

State Treasury
Bangalore, Dated 14 March 1903.

From,

SL 3

B. Gopalengar Esq:
Officer in charge,
State Treasury
Bangalore

To,

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq: M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your letter
No: 13226 dated 2nd Instant, regarding the
Ret. 1130
retention or otherwise of the Head accountant,
Bheem Sain Row in service for a further period
of ten months from 18th February 1903, I have
the honor to request that, pending further
communication on the subject, you will
do me the favor of obtaining the order of
His Excellency the Dewan for the disbursement
of pay to the official till further orders.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B. Gopalengar

Officer in charge
State Treasury

Read: —

SLP

Letter No. 1466 d.

14th March 1903 from
the officer in charge of
the State Huzur Treasury
soliciting sanction to the
disbursement of pay to the
Head Accountant Bheema
- Sena Rao till further orders
- pending further communica-
- tion regarding his reten-
- tion in service or
otherwise.

Order thereon No. 4013-4

P.F.A. 1229

07203

Sanctioned 1903

2. The report called for in this
office docket No. 13226 d. 2nd March
1903 should be expedited.

Off. Huz. 18/3/03
Sena Rao

Imd
16/3/03

WB
17/3/03

To The offr in ch. State
Huzur Treasury
Bailya
The Camp holder in Mysore

no 22-
JUL 1903
11/11/25
State Wuzur Treasury
Bangalore. 7 July/03

SL 5-
From, B. Gopalengar Esquire
Officer in Charge,
State Wuzur Treasury,
Bangalore.

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
in A. M. L.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Genl & Rev (Dep. Secy)
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. 13226
DVA 1130 of the 7th March 1903 I have
the honor to submit that Bheemanna
Row Head Accountant has obtained
three months privilege leave, to which
he will be entitled from 1st September
next, preparatory to his retirement.
He will then be entitled to get a pension
of Rs 65/- a month.

Yrs

The Deputy and a few other
Accountants, who are young, have
not only qualified themselves, by
passing the necessary tests but
also have much experience of
the work of this office, and I
respectfully beg leave to refer
you to my letter No 1651 of the
10th April 1907, in which I had
submitted my proposals in
connection with the filling up
of the vacancy. Had I not
been afraid of their not meeting
with the approval of Government
on account of extra cost, I
would have proposed a higher
rate of pay to the Treasurer,
Deputy and Deputy Accountants.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant

By Appointment
Officer in Charge
of the State Treasury

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Dewan's Office,
P. A. Branch.

To

St 6
The officer in charge of
the State Huzur Treasury.

Date of passing the draft

Date of despatch

16.7.03

Regd. No.

File No. & date (if any)

St 60


No. of Encls.

His letter No. 22 d. 7th
July 1903

With refer to the above
has the honour to state that
the officer in charge of the
Treasury does not say
whether the Head Accountant
Bhemasena Rao therein
referred to is or is not
fit for further service at
least for the period required
to entitle him to a
pension of half his
present pay. and to
request therefore that he
will be good enough to
report on the point.
B. C.

15/7/03

15/7/03



State Huzur Treasury,
Bangalore, 16th July 1903.

B. Gopalalingam Esquire
Officer in charge.

State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore.

C. I.
10, H. V. Hanumanthya Esq. M.A.
Secretary to the Government
of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,
With reference to your docket
No. P & A 60 of 16th Instant, I have
the honor to submit that the age
of the Head Accountant Bhimasain
Das Viz, 61 $\frac{1}{2}$ years old, incapacitates
him for further service in this Treasury
but considering that only 4 $\frac{1}{2}$
months are required to entitle
him to draw the full pension
of Rs 65/- a month, I do not
wish to stand in the way of
his being kept on actual duty.

for a month and a half and
then allowed to proceed on
well-earned three months
privilege leave from 1st Septem-
ber next, preparatory to
retirement after a long and
good service.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant
B. Springer

W. B. Officer in Charge of the
State House Treasury

Read again:-

SL 8

G. O. No. 7L 971 dt 11/1/02.

directing that P. Bheemasena Rao,
Head Accountant, State Hutgun Treasury,
may be retained in service for another year.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with
letter no. 81 dt 16/7/03, from the Officer
in charge of the State Hutgun Treasury,
stating that Bheemasena Rao ^{is age} ~~is more~~
^{61 1/2 years,}
~~than 61 years of age~~ ^{and} consequently
incapacitated ^{from} for further service,
that he may be allowed to continue on
duty up to 1st Sept'r '03 and that from
that date he may be granted 3 months'
privilege leave, preparatory to his retirement.

Order thereon:-

Sanctioned.

6/8/03

16/5/8.

To

The Comptroller, Mysore fort.

Officer in Charge, State Hutgun Treasury

22nd
20th

No. 7L 459-60

07/14/8/03

14

03745

und

11. VIII 03

20m c

u

13/8/03